

## Original Article

## Comparing Generic and Disease-Specific Instruments in Assessing Health-Related Quality of Life in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)

**Drosos Tsavlis**

Pulmonary Clinic, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Zoi Tsimtsiou**

Department of Hygiene, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Doxakis Anastakis**

Pulmonary Clinic, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Olympia Konstantakopoulou**

Economist, MSc, PhDc, Researcher Fellow, CHESME, Department of Nursing, School of Health Sciences, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

**Vasileios Tsaousis**

Pulmonary Clinic, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Anna Tzoumaka**

Pulmonary Clinic, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Anastasia Tektonidou**

Pulmonary Clinic, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Daphne Kaitelidou,**

Associate Professor, Department of Nursing, School of Health Sciences, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

**Correspondence:** Tsavlis Drosos, Address: 4 Michalakopoulou Str., 55132, Kalamaria Thessaloniki, Greece. e-mail: dr.tsavlis@yahoo.com

### Abstract

**Introduction-Background:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a widespread disease with negative impact on patients' quality of life (QoL). It is mainly characterized by a progressive decline in lung function with frequent and unpredictable occurrence of symptomatic seizures that are potentially life-threatening. Since the clinical symptoms of COPD and its unpredictable seizures have an important impact on patients' life, it is therefore necessary that therapeutic interventions aim at a significant impact on improving patients' QoL.

**Methods:** This study explored the association between subjective perception of quality of life (QoL) and the objective laboratory data in patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), investigating whether there are corresponding changes after six months with the appropriate therapeutic treatment. One hundred consecutive outpatients with COPD were classified into four groups based on their spirometric performance (FEV<sub>1</sub>) at baseline visit. They were asked to complete the general health questionnaire SF-36 and the disease-specific St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ). After six months of specific treatment for each group, the patients returned for a new spirometry and recompleted the two questionnaires.

**Results:** Results revealed strong correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub> and SGRQ (Pearson  $r < -.9$ ,  $p < .001$ ) during both sessions. Similarly for SF-36 ( $p < .001$ ), strong correlation was found between FEV<sub>1</sub> and the domains of General Health Perceptions and Vitality ( $r \geq .7$ ), moderate correlation with Bodily Pain, Physical and Social Role functioning ( $r \leq .6$ ), and weak correlation with Physical and Emotional Role Functioning ( $r \leq .4$ ).

**Conclusions:** QoL in COPD patients, as measured by both SGRQ and SF-36, is consistent with objective findings that characterize its course and therefore healthcare professionals are encouraged to use both tools to gain a better insight into the effectiveness of their patients' treatment.

**Keywords:** Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Quality of life, St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire, Health survey SF-36

## Introduction-Background

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a widespread disease with negative impact on patients' quality of life (QoL) (Moy et al. 2009; Ståhl et al. 2005). It is the fourth leading cause of death worldwide with an alarming upward trend towards the third position (Buistet et al. 2007; GOLD 2009). It is mainly characterized by a progressive decline in lung function with frequent and unpredictable occurrence of symptomatic seizures that are potentially life-threatening. COPD includes a number of accompanying symptoms like shortness of breath, cough, confusion, headache, with consequent implications on patients' QoL (GOLD 2009). Since the clinical symptoms of COPD and its unpredictable seizures have an important impact on patients' life (Kaplan et al. 2005; Moy et al. 2009; Pereira et al. 2009; Peruzza et al. 2003), it is therefore necessary that therapeutic interventions aim at a significant impact on improving patients' QoL.

Several disease-specific tools for measuring QoL in patients with COPD have been previously presented in the literature (Jones et al. 1992; Katsura et al. 2003; Paddison et al. 2012; Polley et al. 2008; Van der Molen et al. 2003). The St. Georges Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) is a reliable and valid disease-specific instrument that has been used in the most studies on the QoL in patients with COPD (Jones et al. 1992; Martin et al. 2016; Paap et al, 2015; Weatherall et al. 2009). Some of the previous studies that have compared disease-specific and generic health status questionnaires, suggested that both categories should be used in order to achieve the most holistic approach to the overall burden of COPD on patients' QoL (Engstrom et al. 2001; Malý & Vondra 2006), while others emphasized that disease-specific instruments are less influenced by co-morbidities (Wacker et al. 2016) and have greater ability to discriminate among different levels of severity stages of COPD compared to generic tools (Buss and Silva 2009; Pickard et al. 2011; Wilke et al.2012). However, there is a scarcity of longitudinal studies that evaluate the strength of associations among clinical and health-related QoL measures-both disease specific and generic- in COPD, regardless of the disease' stage (Wilke et al.2012) and after the appropriate therapeutic intervention, investigating their responsiveness to

change.

The purpose of this study was to investigate whether objective laboratory data on COPD patients are consistent with their subjective perception of QoL and whether the expected changes in spirometric performance at a six-month follow up, after the appropriate therapeutic intervention can be reflected in patients' QoL. Furthermore, the study aimed in determining the correlation between the changes in the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and the well-established generic tool, SF-36.

## Methods

**Participants:** The study involved 100 consecutive patients diagnosed with COPD that have visited the outpatient clinic of an Academic Pulmonary Department in North Greece, in Papanikolaou General Hospital. They were examined twice, at their initial visit and after a six-month period. Between the two visits, patients followed physicians' instructions in terms of their medications, exercise and nutrition. Inclusion criteria for this study were: patients' informed consent to participate in the study and the diagnosis of COPD (according to their spirometric performance). Exclusion criteria included having a history of co-morbidities that hinder their daily activities ( chronic heart failure, cancer, chronic kidney failure, psychiatric diseases, rheumatic diseases). Twelve patients with COPD were excluded from the study due to co-morbidities.

**Evaluation of respiratory function:** All subjects underwent testing of their respiratory function through spirometry. Two measures that were recorded were the volume-time curve (simple spirometry) and the flow-volume curve (which has greater sensitivity) (Nelson et al. 1990). The estimated Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 sec (FEV<sub>1</sub>) was the main parameter used for the operational control of breathing (Nelson et al. 1990). Patients were divided in four study groups, as shown in Table 1, according to their spirometric performance (GOLD 2009).

**Treatment approach according to the COPD stage by GOLD:** At the baseline visit, the patients' pharmaceutical treatment was determined, according to the GOLD guidelines (GOLD, 2009). More specifically, it was recommended to Stage I patients (group 1) to stop smoking, use salbutamol on demand and

maintain ideal body weight. In addition to these measures, it was recommended to Stage II patients (group 2) a variety of breathing exercises, physical therapy, proper hydration and use of anticholinergic medications. Stage III patients (group 3) were asked to add beta-2 agonists to their bronchodilator therapy. Finally, inhaled corticosteroids were added to the treatment of Stage IV patients (group 4). Instructions were given on diet, exercise and activities of daily living and the opinions of psychiatrists and cardiologists were sought for patients who indicated that they needed it.

**Questionnaires:** We used two questionnaires to measure quality of life, a disease-specific St. Georges Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and a well-established generic instrument, SF-36. The patients were requested to complete them both at baseline and follow up visits.

**St. Georges Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ):** SGRQ is a reliable and valid questionnaire especially designed for measuring QoL in COPD patients (Jones et al. 1992), while its Greek version has been checked for its psychometric properties (Katsoulas et al. 2010). It consists of two parts comprising 55 items, distributed in three subscales: symptoms, activities and barriers. The score that a respondent can achieve ranges from 0 to 100. The closer to 0 the result is, the better the QoL is characterized.

**Health survey SF-36:** Health survey SF-36 is a well-established tool that relates to general health status that has been previously used in COPD patients (Mahler & Mackowiak 1995) and has been validated in the Greek language (Pappa 2005). This questionnaire has been structured based on the assumption that the two main dimensions of health, physical and psychosocial, can be viewed using the eight sections that have been constructed: 1. Physical Functioning, 2. Physical Role Functioning, 3. Bodily Pain, 4. General Health Perceptions, 5. Vitality, 6. Social Role functioning, 7. Emotional Role Functioning, and 8. Mental Health. The score ranges from 0 to 100 and the closer it is to 0, the poorer the QoL is considered.

**Statistical Analysis:** Continuous variables were summarized with mean and standard deviation (SD). Categorical variables were presented with frequencies and the corresponding percentages. The scores of SGRQ, SF-36 and the values of FEV<sub>1</sub> at baseline and at the six-month follow up were checked for statistical significant differences using the pair samples t-test. Pearson

correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) was used for the estimation of the degree of possible correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub> and the scores of the QoL questionnaires, as well as between the scores of SGRQ and SF-36, both at baseline and at the six-month follow up. All analyses were performed with IBM/SPSS Statistics Version 21.0. P-values were two-tailed with a significance level of .05.

## Results

The mean age of the participants was 60.4 (SD± 8.92) years old. The demographic characteristics of the patients and their distribution in the four groups per gender are shown in Table 1.

**FEV<sub>1</sub> Assessment:** Although FEV<sub>1</sub> values increased in all patients' groups, as shown in Table 2, statistically significant increase after six months was observed only in groups 2 and 4 ( $p < .05$ ).

### Quality of Life questionnaires:

**SGR:** In Table 3, the mean values of SGRQ and its subscales at baseline and after six months are presented, as well as the  $p$  values of the paired sample t-test. Only in groups 2 and 4 statistical significant improvement in QoL was found in both total SGRQ and in all three subscales ( $p < .001$ ).

**SF-36:** The mean values of the eight SF-36 domains at baseline and after six months and the  $p$  values of the paired sample t-test are presented in Table 4. Again, only in groups 2 and 4 statistical significant improvement in quality of life was found in all SF-36 subscales ( $p < .05$ ).

**Correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub> and the QoL questionnaires:** Strong correlation was found between FEV<sub>1</sub> and SGRQ's total score and subscales. Specifically, the Pearson  $r$  coefficient for the correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub> and SGRQ's total score was  $r = -.963$ , FEV<sub>1</sub> and Symptoms subscale  $r = -.927$ , FEV<sub>1</sub> and Activities subscale  $r = -.944$ , while FEV<sub>1</sub> and Constraints subscale  $r = -.941$  ( $p < .001$ ).

Statistical significant correlations was also found between FEV<sub>1</sub> and all SF-36 domains ( $p < .001$ ). Emotional Role Functioning showed the weaker correlation (Pearson  $r = .360$ ), while General Health Perceptions the stronger (Pearson  $r = .730$ ). The correlation coefficients between FEV<sub>1</sub> and both QoL questionnaires are presented in Table 5.

**Correlation between SGRQ and SF-36:** ( $p < .001$ ). The strongest correlation was observed between the total score of the SGRQ and general health domain of SF-36. The Pearson coefficients are presented in detail in Table 6.

**Table 1: Patients' demographic characteristics and distribution in the four groups according to their spirometric performance at baseline visit ( $n=100$ )**

Characteristic	N (%)
Gender	
male	62 (62%)
Smoking habit	
Smokers	52 (52%)
Ex-smokers	48 (48%)
Educational level	
University degree	31 (31%)
High school graduates	46 (46%)
Primary school graduates	23 (23%)
Marital Status	
Married/ divorced/ windowed	88 (88%)
Single	12 (12%)
Group/ stage of COPD	N (male /female)
Group 1/ Stage I	22 (12/ 10)
Group 2/ Stage II	38 (24/ 14)
Group 3/ Stage III	27 (19/ 8)
Group 4/ Stage IV	13 (7/ 6)

**Table 2: Mean values and standard deviation of FEV<sub>1</sub> % at Visit 1 and Visit 2 and  $p$  values of paired sample t-test**

Group	FEV <sub>1</sub> % Visit 1	FEV <sub>1</sub> % Visit 2 (at six months)	$p$ value
1	89.4 ± 4.1	91.5 ± 6.5	.229
2	60.9 ± 4.9	64.2 ± 7.0	.021
3	43.8 ± 3.5	45.9 ± 5.8	.342
4	26.2 ± 2.4	29.2 ± 4.8	.038

**Table 3: Mean values of the three SGRQ subscales and total SGRQ at Visit 1 and Visit 2 and  $p$  values of paired sample t-test.**

Group	Symptoms		Activities		Constraints		Total	
	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 1	Visit 2
1	21.27	20.14	11.86	11.02	28.73	27.59	22.23	21.18
2	38.76	28.95*	37.24	30.16**	47.39	40.92**	42.75	35.50*
3	58.93	58.33	62.22	61.59	66.85	65.59	64.03	63.08
4	87.77	76.46*	84.31	74.77**	87.31	74.08**	86.47	74.71*

\*\*  $p < .001$

**Table 4: Mean values of the eight subscales of SF-36 at Visit 1 and Visit 2 and *p* values of paired sample t-test.**

Domain	Group 1		Group 2		Group 3		Group 4	
	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 1	Visit 2	Visit 1	Visit 2
Physical Functioning	81.8	81.9	75.6	82.09*	65.7	66.33	46.15	63.22
Physical Role Functioning	2	9	5		4			*
Bodily Pain	82.2	82.7	71.3	80.11*	59.2	60.41	42.30	56.85
General Health	7	1	1		5			*
Perceptions	84.5	85.8	69.2	77.13*	54.8	56.87	40.76	48.39
Vitality	4	0	1		1			*
Social Role functioning	85.2	86.0	72.1	81.03*	50.9	52.66	44.23	54.98
Emotional Role Functioning	2	0	0		2			*
Mental Health	82.0	83.2	70.0	78.55	58.0	60.13	43.00	55.00
	0	1	0	*	0			*
	88.0	88.4	77.4	86.19	60.8	61.12	47.73	61.32
	7	4	4	*	3			*
	83.3	83.7	76.3	83.99*	56.7	57.09	46.15	58.99
	3	7	2		9			*
	81.2	82.9	69.3	76.47	52.3	54.55	40.77	51.91
	7	7	6	*	7			*

**Table 5: Correlation of FEV<sub>1</sub> % with SGRQ and SF-36 subscales in all groups.**

Questionnaire	FEV <sub>1</sub> % Pearson <i>r</i>	<i>p</i> value
SGRQ		
Symptoms	-.927	<.001
Activities	-.944	<.001
Constraints	-.941	<.001
Total	-.963	<.001
SF-36 domains		
Physical Functioning	.381	<.001
Physical Role Functioning	.503	<.001
Bodily Pain	.599	<.001
General Health Perceptions	.730	<.001
Vitality	.672	<.001
Social Role functioning	.568	<.001
Emotional Role Functioning	.360	<.001



**Table 6: Pearson correlation coefficients between SGRQ subscales and total score and SF-36 subscales.**

SF-36 subscales	SGRQ Symptoms Pearson r	SGRQ Activities Pearson r	SGRQ Constraints Pearson r	SGRQ Total Pearson r
Physical Functioning	-.421**	-.428**	-.381**	-.415**
Physical Role Functioning	-.515**	-.542**	-.554**	-.556**
Bodily Pain	-.603**	-.625**	-.606**	-.627**
General Health Perceptions	-.739**	-.737**	-.716**	-.746**
Vitality	-.674**	-.672**	-.705**	-.705**
Social Role functioning	-.612**	.594**	-.596**	-.613**
Emotional Role Functioning	-.384**	.424**	-.387**	-.409
Physical Functioning	-0.708	-0.673**	-0.709	-0.714

\*\* $p < .001$ 

## Discussion

This study provides evidence that the measurements of QoL in COPD patients, by both SGRQ and SF-36, are consistent with objective findings that characterize its course, regardless of the COPD stage. Therefore both questionnaires are reliable and appropriate to capture the changes that accompany spirometric performance, although the disease-specific SGRQ was more strongly correlated with the values of FEV<sub>1</sub> compared to the generic instrument. As far as the effectiveness of the therapeutic intervention was concerned, only groups 2 and 4 patients have shown statistically significant improvement in both FEV<sub>1</sub> and QoL at six months, raising questions about the need to redefine the treatment strategy in groups 1 and 3.

The disease-specific questionnaire SGRQ was found a reliable tool in capturing accurately the changes in the QoL of patients with respiratory problems, being very strongly associated with FEV<sub>1</sub> ( $r < -.9$ ). This finding reinforces the psychometric properties of this tool, being in line with previous studies (Buss and Silva 2009; Ferrer et al. 2002; Pickard et al. 2011; Wacker et al. 2016; Weatherall et al. 2009; Wilke et al. 2012). Although SF-36 is a generic instrument appropriate to record the subjective image of the general health status that the respondents had, our findings indicate that its domains' scores

correlated statistical significantly with the results of both spirometry and SGRQ questionnaire scores, for the totality of the examined patients. The calculated coefficients revealed weak correlation between FEV<sub>1</sub> and the domains of Physical Functioning and Emotional Role Functioning ( $r \leq .4$ ), a moderate correlation with Physical Role Functioning, Bodily Pain and Social Role functioning ( $r \leq .6$ ), and a strong correlation with General Health Perceptions and Vitality ( $r \geq .7$ ). Our findings provide further evidence that SF-36 is a reliable tool and could be therefore used as a supplementary tool in order to capture a wider range of the implications of COPD on the patients' QoL, reinforcing the findings of studies that were in support of using both generic and disease-specific tools ((Engstrom et al. 2001; Malý & Vondra 2006). As Guyatt et al. (1993) described, the reliability of a good tool for measuring QoL depends on whether or not there are significant correlations between the values of the various measurements.

The main strength of this study is its longitudinal design, since it has explored the correlation between the subjective perceptions of QoL with objective laboratory data of COPD patients in all stages of COPD, checking for the response to change after a six-month therapeutic intervention. One limitation of this study was that the size of all groups of participants was not

equal, ranging from 13 to 38 participants each, since the first 100 consecutive patients enrolled. This could have affected the power of the study's sample to detect statistically significant changes. However, in order to achieve an effect size of 0.8, a power of 0.8 and a significance level of 0.05, it was estimated that 12 patients were required per group for the pair sample t-test that was employed. This explains why even in the group with the smaller number of participants, statistically significant changes in FEV<sub>1</sub> and both the two QoL questionnaires were detected after the six months period.

**Conclusions:** In conclusion, changes in FEV<sub>1</sub> were strongly correlated with the scores with all the sections of the SGRQ questionnaire and moderately to strongly correlated six out of the eight domains of the SF-36 questionnaire. This indicates the simultaneous change in the three parameters examined in this investigation and confirms the achievement of its original objective. Our findings encourage health care professionals to use disease-specific as well as generic instruments to gain a better insight into the effectiveness of the treatment on patients' QoL in all stages of the disease.

## References

- Buist, A.S., McBurnie, M.A., Vollmer, W.M., Gillespie, S., Burney, P., Mannino, D.M., et al. (2007). International variation in the prevalence of COPD (the BOLD Study): a population-based prevalence study. *Lancet*, 370(9589), 741-750.
- Buss, A. S., & Silva, L. M. (2009). Comparative study of two quality of life questionnaires in patients with COPD. *Jornal brasileiro de pneumologia*, 35(4), 318-324.
- Daudey, L., Peters, J. B., Molema, J., Dekhuijzen, P.N., Prins, J.B., Heijdra, Y.F., et al. (2010). Health status in COPD cannot be measured by the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire alone: an evaluation of the underlying concepts of this questionnaire. *Respiratory research*, 11, 98.
- Engstrom, C.P., Persson, L.O., Larsson, S., & Sullivan, M. (2001). Health-related quality of life in COPD: why both disease-specific and generic measures should be used. *The European respiratory journal*, 18(1), 69-76.
- Ferrer, M., Villasante, C., Alonso, J., Sobradillo, V., Gabriel, R., Vilagut, G., et al. (2002). Interpretation of life scores from the St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *The European respiratory journal*, 19(3), 405-413.
- Global initiative for chronic Lung Disease (GOLD). (2009). Global strategy for the diagnosis, Management and Prevention of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Medical Communication Resources, Inc.
- Guyatt, G. H., Feeny, D. H., & Patrick, D. (1993). Measuring health-related quality of life. *Annals of internal medicine*, 118 (8), 622-629.
- Jones, P.W., Quirk, F.H, Baveystock, C.M., & Littlejohns, P. (1992). A self-complete measure of health status for chronic airflow limitation. The St.George's Respiratory Questionnaire. *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, 145(6), 1321-1327.
- Kaplan, R., & Ries, A. (2005). Quality of Life as an Outcome Measure in Pulmonary Diseases. *Journal of Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation*, 25(6), 321-331.
- Katsoulas, T.A., Skordilis, E.K., Myriantsefs, P., Fildisis, G., Theodosopoulou, E., & Baltopoulos, G.(2010). Validity of St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire for Greek patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 110(3 Pt 1), 772-788.
- Katsura, H., Yamada, K., & Kida, K. (2003). Usefulness of a linear analog scale questionnaire to measure health-related quality of life in elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 51(8), 1131-1135.
- Mahler, D. & Mackowiak, J. (1995). Evaluation of the Short-Form 36-Item Questionnaire to Measure Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients With COPD. *Chest*, 107(6), 1585-1589.
- Malý, M., & Vondra, V. (2006). Generic versus disease-specific instruments in quality-of-life assessment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Methods of information in medicine*, 45(2), 211-215.
- Martin, A. L., Marvel, J., Fahrbach, K., Cadarette, S. M., Wilcox, T. K., & Donohue, J.F. (2016). The association of lung function and St. George's respiratory questionnaire with exacerbations in COPD: a systematic literature review and regression analysis. *Respiratory research*, 17, 40.
- Moy, M. L., Reilly, J. J., Ries, A. L., Mosenifar, Z., Kaplan, R. M., Lew R, et al. (2009). Multivariate models of determinants of health-related quality of life in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Journal of rehabilitation research and development*, 46(5), 643-654.
- Nelson, S. B., Gardner, R. M., & Crappo. R.O. (1990). Performance Evaluation of Contemporary Spirometers. *Chest*, 97(2), 288-297.
- Paap, M. C., Brouwer, D., Glas, C.A., Monninkhof, E. M, Forstreuter, B., Pieterse ME, et al. (2015). The St George's Respiratory Questionnaire revisited: a psychometric evaluation. *Quality of life research*, 24(1), 67-79.
- Paddison, J. S., Cafarella, P., & Frith, P. (2012). Use of an Australian quality of life tool in patients with COPD. *COPD*, 9(6), 585-595.

- Pappa, E., Kontodimopoulos, N., & Niakas, D. (2005). Validating and norming of the Greek SF-36 health survey. *Quality of life research*, 14(5), 1433-1438.
- Pereira, E. D., Pinto, R., Alcantara, M., Medeiros, M., Mota, R. M. (2009). Influence of respiratory function parameters on the quality of life of COPD patients. *Journal brasileiro de pneumologia*, 35(8), 730-736.
- Peruzza, S., Sergi, G., Vianello, A., Pisentt, C., Tiosso, F., Manzan, A., et al. (2003). Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in elderly subjects: impact on functional status and quality of life. *Respiratory medicine*, 97(6), 612-617.
- Pickard, A.S., Yang, Y., Lee, T.A. (2011). Comparison of health-related quality of life measures in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 9, 26.
- Polley, L., Yaman, N., Heaney, L., Cardwell, C., Murtagh, E., Ramsey, J. et al. (2008). Impact of Cough Across Different Chronic Respiratory Diseases: Comparison of Two Cough-Specific Health-Related Quality of Life Questionnaires. *Chest*, 134 (2), 295-302.
- Ståhl, E., Lindberg, A., Jansson, S.A., Rönmark, E., Svensson, K., Andersson, F., et al. (2005). Health-related quality of life is related to COPD disease severity. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 3, 56.
- Van der Molen, T., Willemse, B., Schokker, S., Hacken, N., Postma, D., & Juniper, E. (2003). Development, validity and responsiveness of the Clinical COPD Questionnaire. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 1:13.
- Wacker, M. E., Jörres, R.A., Karch, A., Wilke, S., Heinrich, J., Karrasch, S., et al., COSYCONET-Consortium. (2016). Assessing health-related quality of life in COPD: comparing generic and disease-specific instruments with focus on comorbidities. *BMC pulmonary medicine*, 16(1), 70.
- Weatherall, M., Marsh, S., Shirtcliffe, P., Williams, M., Travers, J., & Beasley, R. (2009). Quality of life measured by the St George's Respiratory Questionnaire and spirometry. *The European respiratory journal* 33(5), 953-955.
- Wilke, S., Janssen, D. J., Wouters, E. F., Schols, J. M., Franssen, F. M., & Spruit, M. A. (2012). Correlations between disease-specific and generic health status questionnaires in patients with advanced COPD: a one-year observational study. *Health and quality of life outcomes*, 10, 98.